



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/749,165	12/27/2000	Robert E. Sobol	10003840-1	4943	
7590 02/01/2005			EXAMINER		
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			TUCKER, WESLEY J		
Intellectual Pro	perty Administration				
P. O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2623		

DATE MAILED: 02/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/749		SOBOL, ROBERT E.				
		Examin	er	Art Unit				
	·	Wes Tu		2623				
r 7 Period for F	The MAILING DATE of this communicated the communicated th	ation appears on t	he cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the per - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ILLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, as of time may be available under the provisions of (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic of or reply specified above is less than thirty (30) and for reply is specified above, the maximum status or reply within the set or extended period for reply with received by the Office later than three months after a dent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ication. days, a reply within the s tory period will apply and II, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a reply be tin tatutory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from polication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this or D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
Status								
1)⊠ Re	esponsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>16 August 200</u>	<u>04</u> .					
2a)⊠ Th	nis action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b	)☐ This action is	non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	of Claims			•				
4a, 5)□ Cl 6)⊠ Cl 7)□ Cl	aim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the apply of the above claim(s) is/are aim(s) is/are allowed. aim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected. aim(s) is/are objected to. aim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from o						
Application	Papers							
10)⊠ The Ap Re	e specification is objected to by the let drawing(s) filed on <u>27 December 2</u> plicant may not request that any objection placement drawing sheet(s) including the e oath or declaration is objected to be	2000 is/are: a)⊠ on to the drawing(s ne correction is requ	) be held in abeyance. See uired if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119		•		•			
12) Acl a) 1.[ 2.[ 3.[	knowledgment is made of a claim for	ocuments have be ocuments have be the priority docur al Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in Application nents have been receive ule 17.2(a)).	on Noed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)								
	References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
3) 🔲 Informati	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT of S)/Mail Date	•	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	ite atent Application (PTC	)-152)			

C .

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments and Amendments

- 1. Applicant's response to the last Office Action, filed Dec 20, 2004, has been entered and made of record.
- 2. Applicant has amended claims 1, 9-18, 21 and 22 were amended. Claims 23-30 have been added. Claims 1-30 are pending.
- 3. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered and are not persuasive for at least the following reasons:
- 4. Applicant argues that U.S. Patent 6,571,003 to Hillebrand does not disclose the claimed feature of "wherein said image enhancer is configured to initiate, without user intervention, manipulation of said portion for enhancing said appearance in response to identification of said portion by said image enhancer." Examiner disagrees and points out that Hillebrand discloses a totally automatic mode for operation as well as a user-directed mode (column 6, lines 15-16, column 7, lines 17-21 and 65-66, column 8, lines 33-36, 46-51 and 63-65, column 9, lines 5-7, 15-17 and 26-28, column 10, lines 51-52, column 12, lines 2-3 and 10-14). Hillebrand consistently states, "in one embodiment the steps are performed by the controller 200." This declaration is interpreted as the steps in the process take place entirely without user input based on data already programmed into the image processor or controller. Although Hillebrand allows for user input at nearly every step of the process, it is also indicated clearly that in one embodiment, the

steps are performed by the controller without user input. Rejection is maintained and the action is made final.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 5-12, and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of U.S. Patent 6,445,819 to Kinjo and U.S. Patent 6,571,003 to Hillebrand et al.

6. With regard to claim 1, Kinjo discloses an image enhancement system, comprising memory for storing digital data that defines a graphical image (Fig.1, element 48) and a face detector configured to analyze said digital data and to automatically identify facial data within said digital data stored in said memory (Fig.2, element 100).

Kinjo discloses an image enhancer, but does not disclose automatically identifying a portion of said facial data that defines a particular facial feature and automatically enhancing an appearance of said facial feature within said graphical image, wherein said image enhancer is configured to initiate, without user intervention,

manipulation of said portion for enhancing said appearance in response to identification of said portion by said image enhancer.

Hillebrand discloses an image enhancer configured to automatically detect and locate defect areas in a face image and to create an improved or worsened area for each of the defect areas (column 2, lines 15-30). Once a face has been detected in a digital image, several different processes are used to change portions of that image in order to enhance the appearance of that image. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to employ Hillebrand's facial enhancement system to modify all or part of the facial image detected in the face detection of Kinjo in order to enhance the appearance of that image.

With regard to the amended part of the claim underlined above, Hillebrand also discloses wherein the image enhancement occurs without any user intervention (column 6, lines 15-16, column 7, lines 17-21 and 65-66, column 8, lines 33-36, 46-51 and 63-65, column 9, lines 5-7, 15-17 and 26-28, column 10, lines 51-52, column 12, lines 2-3 and 10-14). Hillebrand consistently states, "in one embodiment the steps are performed by the controller 200." This declaration is interpreted as the steps in the process take place entirely without user input based on data already programmed into the image processor or controller. Although Hillebrand allows for user input at nearly every step of the process, it is also indicated clearly that in one embodiment, the steps are performed by the controller.

Art Unit: 2623

7. With regard to claim 2, Kinjo and Hillebrand disclose input devices configured to receive an input. Kinjo discloses a scanner (Fig.1, element 12). Hillebrand discloses user input obtained from a user interface on what operations to perform and how to determine facial portions to analyze and enhance (column 5, lines 30-42 and column 6, lines 29-42). In the combination of Kinjo and Hillebrand, Hillebrand's image enhancer would operate in accordance with the input configurations to perform operations on the image input received by Kinjo's input device. The portion of the image to be modified or the facial feature selected is based on these inputs.

Page 5

- 8. With regard to claim 3, Kinjo discloses said image enhancer to process color correction, but does not specifically refer to color blending. Hillebrand discloses said image enhancer to manipulate portions of an image by blending color values associated with the defective portion with the color of the skin surrounding the defective portion (column 2, lines 25-30 and column 12, lines 13-18).
- 9. With regard to claim 5, Kinjo discloses said image enhancer, wherein manipulating said portion, sharpens said appearance of said facial feature (column 10. lines 65-67).
- 10. With regard to claim 6, Kinjo discloses said image enhancer, wherein manipulating said portion, changes a color of said facial feature (column 10, lines 60-65).

Art Unit: 2623

11. With regard to claim 7, Kinjo discloses said system including an image capturing

Page 6

device configured to receive an image of a scene and to produce said digital data based

on said image received by said image capturing device (Fig.1, element 12). In this

embodiment the image capture device is a scanner.

12. With regard to claim 8, Kinjo discloses said image capturing device including a

lens for receiving said image and an image converter for producing said digital data

based on said image (Fig. 1, elements 12, 28, and 32). The scanner contains a CCD

with lens (28) and analog to digital converter (32).

13. With regard to claim 9, Kinjo discloses an automatic image enhancement system.

comprising means for storing digital data that defines a graphical image (Fig.1, element

48) and face detecting means for analyzing said digital data and for automatically

identifying facial data within said digital data stored in said storing means (Fig.2,

element 100).

Kinjo does not explicitly disclose image enhancing means for analyzing said

facial data identified by said face detecting means, for automatically identifying a portion

of said facial data that defines a particular facial feature, and for automatically

manipulating upon identification of said portion by said image enhancing means said

portion to enhance an appearance of said facial feature within said graphical image.

Hillebrand discloses an image enhancer configured to automatically detect and locate defect areas in a face image and to create an improved or worsened area for each of the defect areas (column 2, lines 15-30). Once a face has been detected in a digital image, several different processes are used to change portions of that image in order to enhance the appearance of that image. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to employ Hillebrand's facial enhancement system to modify all or part of the facial image detected in the face detection of Kinjo in order to enhance the appearance of that image.

With regard to the amended part of the claim underlined above, Hillebrand also discloses wherein the image enhancement occurs without any user intervention (column 6, lines 15-16, column 7, lines 17-21 and 65-66, column 8, lines 33-36, 46-51 and 63-65, column 9, lines 5-7, 15-17 and 26-28, column 10, lines 51-52, column 12, lines 2-3 and 10-14). Hillebrand consistently states, "in one embodiment the steps are performed by the controller 200." This declaration is interpreted as the steps in the process take place entirely without user input based on data already programmed into the image processor or controller. Although Hillebrand allows for user input at nearly every step of the process, it is also indicated clearly that in one embodiment, the steps are performed by the controller.

With regard to claim 10, Kinjo discloses a method for enhancing graphical images, comprising:

receiving digital data defining a graphical image (Fig. 1, elements 32, 36 and 14);

automatically detecting facial data within said digital data (Fig. 2, element 100).

Kinjo does not explicitly disclose searching said facial data for data that defines a particular facial feature and automatically identifying, based on said searching step, a set of data defining said particular facial feature; and <u>automatically</u> manipulating said set of data in response to said identifying, wherein <u>said manipulating is initiated without</u> user intervention.

Hillebrand discloses an image enhancer configured to automatically detect and locate defect areas in a face image and to create an improved or worsened area for each of the defect areas (column 2, lines 15-30). Once a face has been detected in a digital image, several different processes are used to change portions of that image in order to enhance the appearance of that image. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to employ Hillebrand's facial enhancement system to modify all or part of the facial image detected in the face detection of Kinjo in order to enhance the appearance of that image.

With regard to the amended part of the claim underlined above, Hillebrand also discloses wherein the image enhancement occurs without any user intervention (column 6, lines 15-16, column 7, lines 17-21 and 65-66, column 8, lines 33-36, 46-51 and 63-65, column 9, lines 5-7, 15-17 and 26-28, column 10, lines 51-52, column 12, lines 2-3 and 10-14). Hillebrand consistently states, "in one embodiment the steps are performed by the controller 200." This declaration is interpreted as the steps in the process take place entirely without user input based on data already programmed into the image processor or controller. Although Hillebrand allows for user input at nearly every step of

Art Unit: 2623

the process, it is also indicated clearly that in one embodiment, the steps are performed

Page 9

by the controller.

14. With regard to Claims 11-17, they repeat the elements in claims 2-8 with reference to a method. With regard to depending claims 11-17, refer to the discussion of claims 2-8 respectively. Claims 11-17 are amended only by eliminating the word "step" in each claim.

15. With regard to claim 18, the combination of Kinjo and Hillebrand applies as discussed in regard to claim 9. Claim 9 cites all of the elements of claim 18, with the exception of explicitly naming a facial skin blemish. Hillebrand discloses this feature (column 2, lines 17-22 and column 6, lines 1-5). With regard to the new limitation wherein said image enhancer is further configured to automatically manipulate, upon locating said portion for enhancing an appearance of said skin blemish within said graphical image, Hillebrand also discloses wherein the image enhancement occurs without any user intervention (column 6, lines 15-16, column 7, lines 17-21 and 65-66, column 8, lines 33-36, 46-51 and 63-65, column 9, lines 5-7, 15-17 and 26-28, column 10, lines 51-52, column 12, lines 2-3 and 10-14). Hillebrand consistently states, "in one embodiment the steps are performed by the controller 200." This declaration is interpreted as the steps in the process take place entirely without user input based on data already programmed into the image processor or controller. Although Hillebrand

Application/Control Number: 09/749,165 Page 10

Art Unit: 2623

allows for user input at nearly every step of the process, it is also indicated clearly that in one embodiment, the steps are performed by the controller.

- 16. With regard to claim 19, Hillebrand discloses the image enhancer configured to locate at least one additional facial feature and locate said portion of said facial data defining said skin blemish by determining the likely proximity of said skin blemish to said located at least one additional facial feature. Hillebrand discloses several methods of sub-image determination (column 7, lines 16-40 and 60-65 and column 8, lines 5-10). Hillebrand discloses locating sub-images such as an under eye border and then discloses enhancing the image portion according to the defect type in that area such as wrinkles blemishes red spots etc.
- 17. With regard to claim 20, Hillebrand discloses the said blemish to be a wrinkle (column 8, lines 5-8).
- 18. With regard to claim 21, the discussions of claims 1, 9 and 18 apply. The method is considered to be included in the system.
- 19. With regard to claim 22, the discussion of claim 19 applies.
- 20. With regard to claim 23, the combination of Kinjo and Hillebrand discloses the system of claim 1, and Kinjo discloses detecting multiple face candidate regions (Fig. 2,

Page 11

Art Unit: 2623

element 102 and Fig. 3) and in the combination of Kinjo and Hillebrand, image enhancement would take place on any number of faces once they are detected.

- 21. With regard to claim 24, the discussion of claim 23 applies.
- 22. With regard to claim 25, the discussion of claim 23 applies.
- 23. With regard to claim 26, the discussion of claim 23 applies.
- 24. With regard to claim 27, the discussion of claim 1 applies. Hillebrand discloses wherein the image enhancement occurs without any user intervention (column 6, lines 15-16, column 7, lines 17-21 and 65-66, column 8, lines 33-36, 46-51 and 63-65, column 9, lines 5-7, 15-17 and 26-28, column 10, lines 51-52, column 12, lines 2-3 and 10-14). Hillebrand consistently states, "in one embodiment the steps are performed by the controller 200." This declaration is interpreted as the steps in the process take place entirely without user input based on data already programmed into the image processor or controller. Although Hillebrand allows for user input at nearly every step of the process, it is also indicated clearly that in one embodiment, the steps are performed by the controller.
- 25. With regard to claim 28 Kinjo discloses an automatic image enhancement system, comprising memory (Fig. 1, element 48) for storing digital data that defines a

Art Unit: 2623

graphical image, said graphical image containing a plurality of faces (Fig. 2, elements 100 and 102). Kinjo disclose multiple face candidate regions.

Kinjo also discloses a face detector configured to detect each of said faces (Fig. 2, element 100).

Kinjo does not disclose an image enhancer configured to analyze said faces, said image enhancer further configured to automatically detect and enhance at least one respective facial feature in each of said faces.

Hillebrand discloses an image enhancer configured to automatically detect and locate defect areas in a face image and to create an improved or worsened area for each of the defect areas (column 2, lines 15-30). Once a face has been detected in a digital image, several different processes are used to change portions of that image in order to enhance the appearance of that image. This of course applies to multiple detected facial images. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to employ Hillebrand's facial enhancement system to modify all or part of the facial image detected in the face detection of Kinjo in order to enhance the appearance of that image.

Hillebrand also discloses wherein the image enhancement occurs without any user intervention (column 6, lines 15-16, column 7, lines 17-21 and 65-66, column 8, lines 33-36, 46-51 and 63-65, column 9, lines 5-7, 15-17 and 26-28, column 10, lines 51-52, column 12, lines 2-3 and 10-14). Hillebrand consistently states, "in one embodiment the steps are performed by the controller 200." This declaration is interpreted as the steps in the process take place entirely without user input based on

data already programmed into the image processor or controller. Although Hillebrand allows for user input at nearly every step of the process, it is also indicated clearly that in one embodiment, the steps are performed by the controller.

- 26. With regard to claim 29, the discussion of claim 28 applies. The method is disclosed in the use of the system.
- 28. Claims 4 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Kinjo and Hillebrand in view of U.S. Patent 5,835,616 to Lobo.
- 29. With regard to claim 4, Kinjo discloses said image enhancer, wherein manipulating said portion, applies various image-processing techniques. Neither Kinjo nor Hillebrand expressly disclose blurring the appearance of the facial feature. Lobo discloses enhancing a facial image by blurring the image (Abstract). Lobo teaches that the blurring filter is used to better set forth the facial features of the image (Abstract). Blurring is a well-known image enhancing technique. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to add blurring to the list of available facial feature enhancing techniques listed by Kinjo in order to better set forth the facial features of the image.
- 30. With regard to claim 13, the discussion of claim 4 applies. The method used in the system is considered to be included in the system.

# Conclusion

31. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in the Office Action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

32. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wes Tucker whose telephone number is 703-305-6700. The examiner can normally be reached on 9AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amelia Au can be reached on (703) 308-6604. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-5397.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Wes Tucker 5-10-04

Jon Chang
Primary Examiner